

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/690,409	10/17/2000	Hans-Peter Wild	357153/0004	3320
26610 7590 STROOCK & STRO	01/08/2007 OOCK & LAVAN LLP	EXAMINER		
180 MAIDEN LANI	Ė .		TRUONG, THANH K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10	0038			
			3721	
· .	<u>'</u>		·	-
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI	IOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/690,409	WILD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh K. Truong	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the set of the set of the set of the maximum statutory period. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory period.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commentation ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ To allow closed in accordance with the practice under the communication is incondition.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	· •	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 7-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct T1). The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 09/690,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 28, 2006 has been entered.

- 2. Applicant cancellation of claims 1-6 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 8 and 10 are objected to because of the following reason: the subject matter claimed in claims 8 and 10 is directed to the method of making a bag, and it is out side of the scope of the present claimed invention, which is the method of applying drinking straw to a bag. Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner's note: if the Applicant decided to maintain claims 8 and 10 in the present application, a restriction would be imposed in the next office action – Group I, claims 7 and 9 and Group II, claims 8 and 10.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 09/690,409 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 7-10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,547. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims, as recited, in the U.S. Patent No. 6,681,547, anticipate claims 7 and 9 of the present claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,409

Art Unit: 3721

Claims 8 and 10 as recited are vague and indefinite, because the claimed subject matter of claims 8 and 10 as recited is outside of the scope of the claimed invention – the present claimed invention is directed to the method of applying drinking straw to a bag, and wherein claims 8 and 10 are directed to the method of making a bag.

Accordingly, claims 8 and 10 are not being examined in this office action due to the indefiniteness. Claims 8 and 10 will be examined on their merits when the indefiniteness is resolved.

Claim 9, the phrase "the second side" is vague and indefinite, because it is unclear what is "the second side" refereeing to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geyssel (4,584,046).

Geyssel discloses a method comprising:

providing a plurality of standup bag 12;

positioning a bag on a conveyor belt (13) so that the second side wall is at an acute angle with the conveyor belt (figure 9);

Art Unit: 3721

Geyssel discloses, column 1, lines 43-47, that:

"It is the task of this invention to create a system with which objects, in particular drinking straws, labels, or the like, can be attached to objects such as packages, bottles, <u>bags</u>, etc., these being moved past on a conveyor belt..." (emphasis added),

and on column 1, lines 6-8, that:

"This invention relates to a device for attaching articles such as labels, drinking straws or the like to packages, bottles or <u>other objects</u>" (emphasis added),

and in the Abstract:

"The applicator device (10) can be tilted about two perpendicular directions and can be secured in order that the drinking straws (11) can be secured in different directions and on <u>variously inclined surfaces</u> of the package (12)" (emphasis added),

and on column 2, lines 19-31, that:

"It is expedient that the applicator element <u>can be tipped in several directions</u> in order that the article that has been made ready <u>can be applied in various positions</u> and on <u>variously configured objects as selected</u> ... the applicator element and the drive system are installed together on a carrier that <u>can be tilted about two perpendicular axes</u> and which can be secured ... the applicator element <u>can be placed in any desired angular position</u> against the objects to which the articles are to be secured" (emphasis added).

It is construed that Geyssel clearly anticipates the method steps in which: the bag (12) includes the bag as recited in the Applicant's present claimed invention, and the drinking straws can be applied to the bag at any desired angular position with respect to the conveyor surface.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,409

Art Unit: 3721

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Thanh K. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-

4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 8:00AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Thanh K. Truong Patent Examiner

December 27, 2006.